UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/528,968	03/23/2005	Keisuke Okamura	450100-04764	9036	
William S From	7590 07/15/200 nmer	EXAMINER			
Frommer Lawrence & Haug			HERNANDEZ, NELSON D		
745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/528,968	OKAMURA, KEISUKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Nelson D. Hernández	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 № 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under №	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine.	wn from consideration. or election requirement.				
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 March 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

2. Applicant is advised that should **claim 16** be found allowable, **claim 17** will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said luminance range" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The limitations of "a luminance range" is presented in claim 2. Is claim 4 meant to depend from claim 2? For examining purposed claim 4 will be examined as dependent from claim 2.

Art Unit: 2622

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1, 3, 10, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okada, JP 2002-084449.

Regarding claim 1, Okada discloses an image pickup apparatus (Fig. 1) characterized by comprising: an image pickup device (Fig. 1: 1) for picking up an image of a subject; a signal processing section (CPU 20 in conjunction with synthetic circuit 7 as shown in fig. 1) for generating a composite image having a relatively wider dynamic range than at least either the dynamic ranges of a long-time exposure image picked up with a relatively long exposure time by said image pickup device or a short-time exposure image picked up with a relatively short exposure time by said image pickup device, by synthesizing said long-time exposure image and said short-time exposure image (English Machine Translation, page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034); and a control section (Gradation compression circuit 44 in conjunction with the coefficient value calculation circuit 45 as shown in fig. 7) for compressing said composite image and dynamically varying the assignment proportion of a high luminance dynamic range to a low-middle luminance dynamic range in a dynamic range of an output image to be outputted as a video signal (English Machine Translation, page

6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; see also page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034).

Regarding claim 3, Okada further discloses that said control section corrects said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range each time said composite image is generated (English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; see also page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034).

Regarding claim 10, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 1. **Regarding claim 12**, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 2, 4-9, 11, and 13-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada, JP 2002-084449 in view of Tsukui, US Patent 5,589,880.

Regarding claim 2, although Okada discloses that said control section dynamically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least the luminance proportion in the image (English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054), Okada does not explicitly disclose that said control section dynamically varies said assignment

Application/Control Number: 10/528,968

Art Unit: 2622

proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image.

Page 5

However, Tsukui discloses an image pickup apparatus (Figs. 6 and 10) characterized by comprising: an image pickup device (3 and 4 as shown in figs. 6 and 10) for picking up an image of a subject; a signal processing section (Fig. 10: 50) for generating a composite image from two images captured at different exposure times to increase the dynamic range of the image (Col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3). Tsukui further discloses dynamically varying the assignment proportion of a high luminance dynamic range to a low-middle luminance dynamic range in a dynamic range of an output image to be outputted as a video signal (Col. 10, lines 29-53; col. 11, lines 56-67; col. 12, lines 49-65; col. 13, lines4-23; col. 14, lines 27-54; col. 15, lines 34-62), wherein said discloses dynamically varying the assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range is made according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image (Tsukui teaches that based on the proportion of high luminance area with respect to the proportion of low luminance area, a predetermined coefficient is multiplied to the different image signals when combining the signals to create the composed image having higher dynamic range; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3). Tsukui further discloses that this is done to produce an image of good color reproducibility without any solid blackening or solid whitening can be obtained even for an object high in contrast and since the composite video signal is obtained from the summed output video signals of the multipliers the

Art Unit: 2622

output video signal level of the television camera can be made continuously change with the illumination of an object (Col. 16, lines 38-49).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the concept of dynamically varying the assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range is made according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image as taught in Tsukui to modify the teaching of Okada to have control section dynamically varying said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image. The motivation to do so would have been to produce an image of good color reproducibility without any solid blackening or solid whitening can be obtained even for an object high in contrast and since the composite video signal is obtained from the summed output video signals of the multipliers the output video signal level of the television camera can be made continuously change with the illumination of an object as suggested by Tsukui (Col. 16, lines 38-49).

Regarding claim 4, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 2 further teaches that said luminance region is at least either a high luminance region or a low-middle luminance region (Tsukui, col. 10, lines 29-53; col. 11, lines 56-67; col. 12, lines 49-65; col. 13, lines4-23; col. 14, lines 27-54; col. 15, lines 34-62).

Application/Control Number: 10/528,968

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 5, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 2 further teaches that said control section dynamically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least an average luminance signal level of said high luminance region which occupies said composite image (Tsukui discloses dynamically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to an average luminance signal level of said high luminance region which occupies said composite image; col. 13, lines 4-23).

Page 7

Regarding claim 6, although is not explicitly disclose in the Tsukui reference dynamically adjusting the dynamic range of the image based on the average luminance signal level of said low luminance, one of an ordinary skill in the art would find obvious to modify the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui to use the average of the low luminance region which occupies said composite image as an alternative to the average of the high luminance region which occupies said composite image discussed in Tsukui while obtaining similar results as a matter of design choice.

Regarding claim 7, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 5.

Regarding claim 8, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 6.

Regarding claim 9, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 2 further teaches said control section at least monotonically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range (As taught in Okada, fig. 4, the adjustment

made to the proportion of said high luminance is varied monotonically by using a circuit that performs the equation $Y_S x (1-k) + Y_L x k$ that would result in a monotonic adjustment of the proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range; Machine English Translation, ¶ 0032-0035).

Page 8

Regarding claim 11, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 2. **Regarding claim 13**, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 5.

Regarding claim 14, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 2.

Regarding claim 15, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 2 further teaches that said dynamic range is at least either a high luminance dynamic range or a low-middle luminance dynamic range (Tsukui, col. 10, lines 29-53; col. 11, lines 56-67; col. 12, lines 49-65; col. 13, lines4-23; col. 14, lines 27-54; col. 15, lines 34-62).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 5.

Regarding claim 18, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 2 further teaches that said control section dynamically assigns a section of said high luminance dynamic range of said output image to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least a decrease of said high luminance region which occupies said composite image (Tsukui discloses assigning coefficients to be multiplied by the two signals based on the luminance level of the signals, wherein the sum of said coefficients is equal to 1 so that when the luminance of the high brightness image signal is increased the coefficient for the high brightness

signal is reduced while the coefficient for the low brightness image signal is increased and vice versa; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3).

Regarding claim 19, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 18.

Regarding claim 20, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 18.

Regarding claim 21, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 18.

Regarding claim 22, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 9.

Regarding claim 23, Okada discloses an image pickup apparatus (Fig. 1) characterized by comprising: an image pickup device (Fig. 1: 1) for picking up an image of a subject; a detection section (CPU 20 as shown in fig. 1) for detecting an image signal of a long-time exposure image picked up with a relatively long exposure time by said image pickup device, and an image signal of a short-time exposure image picked up with a relatively short exposure time by said image pickup device (English Machine Translation, page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034); a synthesis section (synthetic circuit 7 as shown in fig. 1) for generating a composite image from said long-time exposure image and said short-time exposure image on the basis of a switch luminance signal level (See figs. 6, 8-12) determined from said image signals (English Machine Translation, page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034); a control section (Gradation compression circuit 44 in conjunction with the coefficient value calculation circuit 45 as shown in fig. 7) for compressing said composite image according to a luminance proportion in the image, and dynamically assigning the dynamic range of an output image to be outputted as a video signal (English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; see also page 2, ¶ 0006-0007;

page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034); and a compression section (Gradation compression circuit 44 in conjunction with the coefficient value calculation circuit 45 as shown in fig. 7) for compressing the dynamic range of said composite image on the basis of dynamic assignment of said dynamic range of said output image (English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; see also page 2, ¶ 0006-0007; page 3, ¶ 0008-0010; page 5, ¶0028-0034).

Although Okada discloses that said control section dynamically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least the luminance proportion in the image (English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054), Okada does not explicitly disclose that said control section dynamically varies said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image.

However, Tsukui discloses an image pickup apparatus (Figs. 6 and 10) characterized by comprising: an image pickup device (3 and 4 as shown in figs. 6 and 10) for picking up an image of a subject; a signal processing section (Fig. 10: 50) for generating a composite image from two images captured at different exposure times to increase the dynamic range of the image (Col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3). Tsukui further discloses dynamically varying the assignment proportion of a high luminance dynamic range to a low-middle luminance dynamic range in a dynamic range of an output image to be outputted as a video signal (Col. 10, lines 29-53; col. 11, lines 56-67; col. 12, lines 49-65; col. 13, lines4-23; col. 14, lines 27-54; col. 15, lines 34-62), wherein

Art Unit: 2622

said discloses dynamically varying the assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range is made according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image (Tsukui teaches that based on the proportion of high luminance area with respect to the proportion of low luminance area, a predetermined coefficient is multiplied to the different image signals when combining the signals to create the composed image having higher dynamic range; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3). Tsukui further discloses that this is done to produce an image of good color reproducibility without any solid blackening or solid whitening can be obtained even for an object high in contrast and since the composite video signal is obtained from the summed output video signals of the multipliers the output video signal level of the television camera can be made continuously change with the illumination of an object (Col. 16, lines 38-49).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the concept of dynamically varying the assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range is made according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image as taught in Tsukui to modify the teaching of Okada to have control section dynamically varying said assignment proportion of said high luminance dynamic range to said low-middle luminance dynamic range according to at least a luminance region which occupies said composite image. The motivation to do so would have been to produce an image of good color reproducibility without any solid blackening or solid whitening

can be obtained even for an object high in contrast and since the composite video signal is obtained from the summed output video signals of the multipliers the output video signal level of the television camera can be made continuously change with the illumination of an object as suggested by Tsukui (Col. 16, lines 38-49).

Regarding claim 24, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 4.

Regarding claim 25, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said synthesis section acquires, from said short-time exposure image, said pixels corresponding to at least a higher luminance signal level than said switch luminance signal level among pixels constructed in said composite image (Okada, English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; Tsukui, col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 67; col. 10, line 54 – col. 11, line 67).

Regarding claim 26, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said synthesis section acquires, from said long-time exposure image, said pixels corresponding to at least a lower luminance signal level than said switch luminance signal level among said pixels constructed in said composite image (Okada, English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054; Tsukui, col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 67; col. 10, line 54 – col. 11, line 67).

Regarding claim 27, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 15.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said control section determines a high luminance compression gain for compressing a luminance signal level of said composite image on the basis of at least the assignment proportion of a

high luminance dynamic range of said output image to a low-middle luminance dynamic range thereof (Tsukui discloses assigning coefficients to be multiplied by the two signals based on the luminance level of the signals, wherein the sum of said coefficients is equal to 1 so that when the luminance of the high brightness image signal is increased the coefficient for the high brightness signal is reduced while the coefficient for the low brightness image signal is increased and vice versa; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3).

Regarding claim 30, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said control section further includes a compression gain calculation section for determining, for each luminance signal level of said composite image, at least either a final high luminance compression gain or a final low-middle luminance compression gain which are to be used by said compression section, on the basis of at least either said high luminance compression gain or said low-middle luminance compression gain (Tsukui discloses assigning coefficients to be multiplied by the two signals based on the luminance level of the signals, wherein the sum of said coefficients is equal to 1 so that when the luminance of the high brightness image signal is increased the coefficient for the high brightness signal is reduced while the coefficient for the low brightness image signal is increased and vice versa; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 3).

Regarding claim 31, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 5.

Regarding claim 32, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 9.

Regarding claim 33, limitations presented in claim 31 have been discussed and

analyzed in claim 23.

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claim 34, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said synthesis section selects said luminance signal level lower than said switch luminance signal level in said long-time exposure image, as a target for said composite image (As shown in Tsukui, figs. 7 and 11, it is selected the portion of the signal of the long-time exposure that is lower that said switch (knee) luminance level; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 67; col. 10, line 54 – col. 11, line 67; see also Okada, English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054).

Regarding claim 35, the combined teaching of Okada in view of Tsukui as discussed and analyzed in claim 23 further teaches that said synthesis section selects said luminance signal level higher than said switch luminance signal level in said short-time exposure image, as a target for said composite image (As shown in Tsukui, figs. 7 and 11, it is selected the portion of the signal of the short-time exposure that is higher that said switch (knee) luminance level; col. 15, line 34 – col. 16, line 67; col. 10, line 54 – col. 11, line 67; see also Okada, English Machine Translation, page 6-7, ¶ 0045-0054).

Regarding claim 36, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claims 34 and 35.

Regarding claim 37, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 15. **Regarding claim 38**, limitations have been discussed and analyzed in claim 5.

Art Unit: 2622

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernández whose telephone number is (571)272-7311. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lin Ye can be reached on (571) 272-7372. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nelson D. Hernández Examiner Art Unit 2622

NDHH July 11, 2008

> /Lin Ye/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2622